WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

2/23/24 Inspector KYLE BACKS FOM

Time:_	: 10:304 m Weather Conditions: Cloudy 36			
	1	Yes	. No.	Notes
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	84)		
1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational inovement of localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		$ \rangle$	
2	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		λ	
3,:	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fug	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		=1,-212.
5.,	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	χ		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	3 TO	λ	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		2	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes; describe corrective action measures below.		×	And the second s
	Are current CCR fugitive dust control ineasures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	ح)
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen: complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes; answer question		k	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	

Additional Notes: